

THE QUANTIZATION OF MAXWELL THEORY IN THE CAUCHY RADIATION GAUGE

Simone Murro

*Department of Mathematics
University of Genoa*

Working Seminar Mathematical Physics

November 29, 2023



MOTIVATION

- Consider a *globally hyperbolic 4-manifold* $M = (\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma, g = -\beta^2 dt^2 + h_t)$
- A *gauge theory* is a quadruple (V_0, V_1, P, K) consisting of:
 - (I) two Hermitian bundles V_0, V_1 over M ;
 - (II) a formally self-adjoint differential operator $P: \Gamma(V_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_1)$;
 - (III) a linear differential operator $K: \Gamma(V_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_1)$ with $K \neq 0$ such that
 - (i) $P \circ K = 0$ (*gauge transformation*)
 - (ii) $D_1 := P + KK^*: \Gamma(V_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_1)$ is Green hyperbolic;
 - (iii) $D_0 := K^*K: \Gamma(V_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_0)$ is Green hyperbolic,

Equivalently, we can work at the level of *initial data*

- (I') V_{ρ_i} are the bundle of initial data for D_i
- (III') $K_\Sigma := \rho_1 K U_0 \quad K_\Sigma^\dagger := \rho_0 K^* U_1 \quad G_i = (\rho_i G_i)^* G_{i,\Sigma} (\rho_i G_i)$

where ρ_i and U_i are the Cauchy data and the Cauchy evolution operator and $(\rho_i G_i)^*$ is the adjoint of $(\rho_i G_i)$

HOW CAN WE QUANTIZE IT?

Step 1: Construct the classical phase space

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 i(\cdot, G_1 \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_1} =: q_1, \mathcal{V}_P := \frac{\ker(K^*)}{\text{ran}(P)} & \xrightarrow{[G_1]} & \frac{\ker(P)}{\text{ran}(K)} \\
 \text{unitary} \downarrow [\rho_1 G_1] & \searrow [G_1] & \uparrow [J] \\
 i(\cdot, G_{1\Sigma} \cdot)_{\mathcal{V}_{\rho_1}} =: q_{1\Sigma}, \mathcal{V}_\Sigma := \frac{\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)}{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)} & \xrightarrow{[\mathcal{U}_1]} & \frac{\ker(D_1) \cap \ker(K^*)}{K(\ker(D_0))}
 \end{array}$$

and assign $\forall v \in \mathcal{V}_P$ an element of the abstract unital $*$ -algebra $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1)$

$$\text{generators:} \quad \Phi(v) \quad \Phi^*(v) \quad \mathbb{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{CCR relations:} \quad & [\Phi(v), \Phi(w)] = [\Phi^*(v), \Phi^*(w)] = 0 \\
 & [\Phi(v), \Phi^*(w)] = q_1(v, w) \mathbb{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: Construct an **Hadamard states** $\omega : \text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\text{covariances: } \Lambda^+(v, w) := \omega(\Phi(v)\Phi^*(w)) \quad \Lambda^-(v, w) := \omega(\Phi^*(w)\Phi(v))$$

$$\text{Hadamard conditions: } \text{WF}'(\Lambda^\pm) \subset \mathcal{N}^\pm \times \mathcal{N}^\pm \quad \text{where: } \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^+ \cup \mathcal{N}^-$$

Intermezzo I: microlocal methods in AQFT

DEFINITION: Let $u \in D'(M)$ be a distribution. We call

- **singular support** of u

$$\text{singsupp}(u) = \{p \in M \mid \nexists O \ni p \text{ such that } u|_O \in C^\infty(O)\}.$$

- **wavefront set** of u

$$WF(u) = \{(p, k) \in T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid p \in \text{singsupp}(u) \text{ and } k \in \Sigma_p(u)\},$$

where $\Sigma_p(u) = \cap_{\rho} \Sigma(\rho u)$ with $\rho(p) \neq 0$ and

$$\Sigma(\rho u) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \nexists \text{ a conic } V \ni k \text{ such that}$$

$$|\widehat{\rho u}|(k') \leq C_N(1 + |k'|)^{-N}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall k' \in V\}.$$

EXAMPLE: Dirac delta distribution $\delta(x)$:

$$\begin{cases} \text{singsupp}(\delta) = \{0\} \\ (\widehat{\rho\delta})(k) = \rho(0) \end{cases} \implies WF(\delta) = \{(0, k)\}$$

Intermezzo I: microlocal methods in AQFT

DEFINITION: Let $u \in D'(M)$ be a distribution. We call

- **singular support** of u

$$\text{singsupp}(u) = \{p \in M \mid \nexists O \ni p \text{ such that } u|_O \in C^\infty(O)\}.$$

- **wavefront set** of u

$$WF(u) = \{(p, k) \in T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid p \in \text{singsupp}(u) \text{ and } k \in \Sigma_p(u)\},$$

where $\Sigma_p(u) = \cap_{\rho} \Sigma(\rho u)$ with $\rho(p) \neq 0$ and

$$\Sigma(\rho u) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \nexists \text{ a conic } V \ni k \text{ such that}$$

$$|\widehat{\rho u}|(k') \leq C_N(1 + |k'|)^{-N}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \forall k' \in V\}.$$

EXAMPLE: Covariance of an Hadamard state Λ^\pm

$$WF(\Lambda^\pm) = \{(x, k_x, y, k_y) \in T^*M \times T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid (x, k_x) \sim (y, -k_y), \pm k_x \triangleright 0\}$$

$$WF'(\Lambda^\pm) := \{(x, k_x, y, -k_y) \in T^*M \times T^*M \setminus \{0\} \mid (x, k_x, y, k_y) \in WF(\Lambda^\pm)\}$$

PROPOSITION [Gérard-Wrochna]: let $c^\pm : \Gamma(V_{\rho_1}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V_{\rho_1})$ be

- (i) $c^\pm(\text{ran}(K_\Sigma)) \subset \text{ran}(K_\Sigma)$ and $(c^\pm)^\dagger = c^\pm$ (w.r.t. $q_{1,\Sigma}$);
- (ii) $(c^+ + c^-)f = f \pmod{\text{ran} K_\Sigma} \quad \forall f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$;
- (iii) $q_{1,\Sigma}(f, c^\pm f) = i(f, G_{1,\Sigma} c^\pm f)_{V_{\rho_1}} \geq 0 \quad \forall f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$.
- (iv) $WF'(U_1 c^\pm) \subset (\mathcal{N}^\pm \cup F) \times T^*\Sigma$ for $F \subset T^*M$

Then $\Lambda^\pm([s], [t]) := (s, \lambda^\pm t)_{V_1}$ where $\lambda^\pm := (\rho_1 G_1)^* i G_{1,\Sigma} c^\pm (\rho_1 G_1)$ are pseudo-covariances for a quasifree Hadamard state $\omega : \text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Difficulties:

- the fiber metric on V_{ρ_1} may in general be not positive definite \implies the positivity (iii) is difficult to achieve
- pseudodifferential calculus works nice with the Hadamard condition (iv), but interact badly with gauge invariance (i) and positivity (iii)

HOW CAN WE CONSTRUCT HADAMARD STATES?

\hookrightarrow gauge fixing the degrees of freedom

OUTLINE

- (I) The Cauchy radiation gauge
- (II) Hodge-decomposition in Sobolev spaces
- (III) The complete gauge fixing and the pPhase space
- (IV) Hadamard states in the Cauchy radiation gauge

Joint project with Gabriel Schmid, Ph.D. student in Genoa

MAXWELL THEORY AS A GAUGE THEORY

(I) $V_0 = (M \times \mathbb{C}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{V_0})$ and $V_1 = (T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{V_1})$ where

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{V_1} := \int_M g^{-1}(\cdot, \cdot) \text{vol}_g$$

(II) set $P =: \delta d$, $K = d$ and $K^* = \delta \implies D_1 := \delta d + d\delta$ and $D_0 = \delta d$

Because $\ker P$ is invariant under conformal rescaling we can set

$$M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma \quad g = -dt^2 + h_t.$$

DEFINITION: $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$ satisfies **Cauchy radiation gauge** on a Σ if

$$\delta A = 0 \text{ (Lorenz gauge)} \quad \text{and} \quad A_0|_\Sigma = \partial_t A_0|_\Sigma = 0$$

REMARK: On ultrastatic spacetimes, the following gauge are equivalent:

- (i) A satisfies the *Cauchy radiation gauge*;
- (ii) A satisfies the *temporal gauge* $A_0 = 0$ and the *Coulomb gauge* $\delta_\Sigma A_\Sigma = 0$;
- (iii) The fiber metric g^{-1} reduces to h^{-1} in the Cauchy radiation gauge

$$g^{-1}(A, A) = -(A_0, A_0) + h^{-1}(A_\Sigma, A_\Sigma) = h^{-1}(A_\Sigma, A_\Sigma) \geq 0$$

HOW TO ACHIEVE THE CAUCHY RADIATION GAUGE?

- Decompose $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$
- $A' = A + df$ satisfies the Cauchy radiation gauge if we can solve the system

$$\begin{cases} D_0 f &= -\delta A \\ \nabla_t f|_\Sigma &= -A_0|_\Sigma \\ \nabla_t^2 f|_\Sigma &= -\nabla_t A_0|_\Sigma \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} D_0 f &= -\delta A \\ \nabla_t f|_\Sigma &= -A_0|_\Sigma \\ \Delta_0 f|_\Sigma &= -\delta_\Sigma A_\Sigma|_\Sigma \end{cases}$$

- if Σ is compact, by the Hodge decomposition $A_\Sigma = \delta\alpha + dg + h$

$$\begin{cases} D_0 f &= -\delta A \\ f|_\Sigma &= -g|_\Sigma \\ \nabla_t f|_\Sigma &= -A_0 \end{cases}$$

- Since D_0 is normally hyperbolic $\implies \exists!$ f satisfying the Cauchy problem

IF THE MANIFOLD IS NOT COMPACT?

\hookrightarrow Hodge-decomposition in Sobolev space

- (Σ, h) be complete d -dimensional Riemannian manifold and set

$$L_k^2(\Sigma) := \overline{\Omega_c^k(\Sigma; \mathbb{C})}^{\|\cdot\|_2} \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 := \int_M h_{(k)}^{-1}(\cdot, \cdot) \operatorname{vol}_\Sigma$$

- $\Delta_k = \delta d + d\delta$ is symmetric, positive and essentially self-adjoint and we define

$$E_k := (\mathbb{1} + \overline{\Delta}_k): \mathcal{D}(\overline{\Delta}_k) \rightarrow L_k^2(\Sigma)$$

- We can define the *Sobolev space of degree* $s \in \mathbb{R}$ to be the Hilbert space

$$H_k^s(\Sigma) := \mathcal{D}(E_k^{s/2}), \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H^s} := \langle E_k^{s/2} \cdot, E_k^{s/2} \cdot \rangle_{L^2}.$$

- Finally set

$$\Omega_s^k(\Sigma) := \Omega^k(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \cap H_k^s(\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad H_k^\infty(\Sigma) := \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} H_k^s(\Sigma)$$

THEOREM [M.-Schmid]: For complete Riemannian manifolds (Σ, h) it holds

$$H_k^s(\Sigma) \cong \operatorname{Har}_k^s(\Sigma) \oplus \overline{d\Omega_\infty^{k-1}(\Sigma)} \oplus \overline{\delta\Omega_\infty^{k+1}(\Sigma)},$$

where $\operatorname{Har}_k^s(M) := \ker(\overline{d}_k) \cap \ker(\overline{\delta}_k)$ and the closures are taken w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$.

Sketch of the proof

(1) $d : \Omega_\infty^k \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^{k+1}$ and $\delta : \Omega_\infty^{k+1} \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^k$ are closable and H_\bullet^s -adjoint, i.e.

$$(\bar{\delta}^* A, A')_{H_k^s} = (A, \bar{d} A')_{H_{k+1}^s}$$

(2) The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_s(\bar{d}_0) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_0} \mathcal{D}_s(\bar{d}_1) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_1} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_{d-1}} \mathcal{D}_s(\bar{d}_d) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}_d} 0$$

is a well-defined co-chain complex and using that \bar{d} is closed in H^s ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^s(\Sigma) &\cong \ker(\bar{d}_k)^\perp \oplus \ker(\bar{d}_k) \\ &= \ker(\bar{d}_k)^\perp \oplus \overline{\operatorname{ran}(\bar{d}_{k-1})} \oplus (\ker(\bar{d}_k) \cap \operatorname{ran}(\bar{d}_{k-1})^\perp) \\ &= \overline{\operatorname{ran}(\delta_{k+1})} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{ran}(\bar{d}_{k-1})} \oplus (\ker(\bar{d}_k) \cap \ker(\bar{\delta}_k)). \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\ker(P^*)^\perp = \overline{\operatorname{ran}(P)}$ and $\operatorname{ran}(P)^\perp = \ker(P^*)$

□

REMARK:

- (i) $\Omega_s^k(\Sigma) := \Omega^k \cap H_k^s(\Sigma) \cong \text{Har}_k^s(\Sigma) \oplus \left(\Omega^k \cap \overline{d\Omega_\infty^{k-1}(\Sigma)} \right) \oplus \left(\Omega^k \cap \overline{\delta\Omega_\infty^{k+1}(\Sigma)} \right)$
- (ii) If (Σ, h) is of bounded geometry, H^s coincides with $W^{s,2}$
- (iii) Any form $\alpha \in \Omega^k(\Sigma) \cap \overline{d\Omega_\infty^{k-1}(\Sigma)}$ is exact

COROLLARY: For any $\omega \in \Omega_s^1(\Sigma)$, the Poisson equation

$$\Delta_0 f = \delta \omega$$

has a unique solution on the space $\{f \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \mathbb{C}) \mid df \in \overline{d\Omega_\infty^0(\Sigma)}^{H^s}\}$

For $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$ we introduce the spaces

$$\Gamma_s(V_k) := \Omega_s^k(M; \mathbb{C}) := C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \Omega_s^{k-1}(\Sigma)) \oplus C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \Omega_s^k(\Sigma))$$

COROLLARY: For any $A \in \Gamma_s(V_1)$ there exists $f \in \Gamma(V_0)$ w. $df|_{\Sigma_t} \in \overline{d\Omega_\infty^0(\Sigma_t)}^{H^s}$ such that $A' = A + df$ satisfies the Cauchy radiation gauge.

THEOREM [M.-Schmid]: - (M, g) is a globally hyperbolic manifold

- (Σ, h_t) are complete Riemannian manifolds

\implies The Cauchy problem for D_1 is well-posed: for any

$$\forall (h_0, h_1, f) \in \left(\Omega_s^{k-1}(\Sigma) \oplus \Omega_s^k(\Sigma) \right) \oplus \left(\Omega_s^{k-1}(\Sigma) \oplus \Omega_s^k(\Sigma) \right) \oplus \Gamma_{\text{tc}, s-1}(\mathbf{V}_k)$$

there exists a unique solution

$$A \in \Gamma_s(\mathbf{V}_k) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \Omega_s^{k-1}(\Sigma)) \oplus C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \Omega_s^k(\Sigma))$$

to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_k A = f \\ A|_{\Sigma_{t_0}} = h_0 \\ (i^{-1} \partial_t A)|_{\Sigma_{t_0}} = h_1 \end{cases}$$

Ideas behind the proof

The energy $\mathcal{E}_k(\omega, t) := \|\omega|_{\Sigma_t}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\Sigma_t)} + \|\partial_t \omega|_{\Sigma_t}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\Sigma_t)}$ is bounded:

$$\mathcal{E}_k(\omega, t_1) \leq \mathcal{E}_k(\omega, t_0) \cdot e^{C(t_1-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{C(t_1-\tau)} \|\square_k \omega|_{\Sigma_\tau}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{s-1}(\Sigma_\tau)} d\tau$$

REMARK: f is unique (up to a constant), so the gauge is fixed completely, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\ker(P)}{\text{ran}(K)} \simeq \ker(D_1) \cap \ker(K^*) \cap \ker(R)$$

where $R = U_1 R_\Sigma \rho_1$ and $R_\Sigma(a_0, \pi_0, a_\Sigma, \pi_\Sigma) := (a_0, \pi_0, 0, 0)$

THE GAUGE-FIXED PHASE SPACE

PROPOSITION (phase space): The following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{V}_P := \frac{\ker(K^*|_{\Gamma_{tc,s}})}{\text{ran}(P|_{\Gamma_{tc,s}})} & \xrightarrow{[G_1]} & \frac{\ker(P|_{\Gamma_s})}{\text{ran}(K) \cap \Gamma_{s,d}} \\
 \downarrow [\rho_1 G_1] & \searrow [G_1] & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{V}_\Sigma := \frac{\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger|_{\mathcal{H}_s})}{\text{ran}(K_\Sigma|_{\mathcal{H}_s})} & \xrightarrow{[U_1]} & \frac{\ker(D_1|_{\Gamma_s}) \cap \ker(K^*|_{\Gamma_s})}{K(\ker(D_0)) \cap \Gamma_{s,d}} \\
 \downarrow T_\Sigma & & \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{V}_R := \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger|_{\mathcal{H}_s}) \cap \ker(R_\Sigma|_{\mathcal{H}_s}) & \xrightarrow{U_1} & \ker(D_1|_{\Gamma_s}) \cap \ker(K^*|_{\Gamma_s}) \cap \ker(R|_{\Gamma_s})
 \end{array}$$

We conclude the classical theory, by endowing \mathcal{V}_R with an Hermitian form $q_{\Sigma,R}$

- Decomposing $A = A_0 dt + A_\Sigma$, we set

$$\rho_0: f \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t f|_\Sigma \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1: A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A_0|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t A_0|_\Sigma \\ A_\Sigma|_\Sigma \\ \frac{1}{i} \partial_t A_\Sigma|_\Sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

- By construction $[\rho_1 G_1]: (\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma})$ is an unitary isomorphism

$$q_{1,\Sigma}([\cdot], [\cdot]) = i([\cdot], G_{1,\Sigma}[\cdot])_{\rho_1} \quad G_{1,\Sigma} = \frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- We define $q_{\Sigma,R}$ such that $T_\Sigma: (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_R, q_{\Sigma,R})$ is unitary

$$q_{\Sigma,R}(\cdot, \cdot) = i(\cdot G_{\Sigma,R} \cdot)_{\rho_1} \quad G_{\Sigma,R} = \frac{1}{i} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Summing up: unitary isomorphisms $(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1) \simeq (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_{1,\Sigma}) \simeq (\mathcal{V}_R, q_{\Sigma,R})$

HOW TO CONTROL THE MICROLOCAL BEHAVIOUR OF T_Σ ?

To compute T_Σ we follow this ansatz

$$T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1} - K_\Sigma(R_\Sigma K_\Sigma)^{-1}R_\Sigma$$

PROPOSITION: Let (Σ, h) be a Riemannian manifold and $\pi_\delta := \mathbb{1} - d_\Sigma \Delta_0^{-1} \delta_\Sigma$. There exists a map $T_\Sigma: \mathcal{V}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\Sigma$ defined by

$$T_\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 0_{2 \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times 2} & \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\delta & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_\delta \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the following properties

- (i) $T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1} - K_\Sigma(R_\Sigma K_\Sigma)^{-1}R_\Sigma$ on $\ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$
- (ii) $T_\Sigma^2 = T_\Sigma$ and $T_\Sigma|_{\mathcal{V}_R} = \mathbb{1}$;
- (iii) $\ker(T_\Sigma) = \text{ran}(K_\Sigma)$;
- (iv) $\text{ran}(T_\Sigma) = \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger) \cap \ker(R_\Sigma)$.

WE CAN NOW CONSTRUCT HADAMARD STATES

0) By the standard deformation argument, we assume

(M, g) to be ultrastatic and of bounded geometry

1) Replace the phase space (\mathcal{V}_P, q) with the space of initial data $(\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_\Sigma)$

$$\rho G : (\mathcal{V}_P, q) \xrightarrow[\text{unitary}]{\cong} (\mathcal{V}_\Sigma, q_\Sigma) \quad q_\Sigma(\cdot, \cdot) := (\cdot, iG_\Sigma \cdot) \quad G = (\rho G)^* G_\Sigma (\rho G)$$

2) Construct an 'approximate' square root of the Hodge-Laplacian:

$$\varepsilon^* = \varepsilon \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon = \mathbb{1} \quad \varepsilon^2 = \Delta + r_{-\infty} \quad (\Psi\text{DO-calculus})$$

$$\Updownarrow$$



$$\text{microlocal factorization of } \square = (\partial_t + i\varepsilon)(\partial_t - i\varepsilon) - r_{-\infty} \quad (\text{smoothing op.})$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\pi^\pm := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \pm \varepsilon^{-1} \\ \pm \varepsilon & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{microlocal factorization of } U_\square = U_{(\partial_t + i\varepsilon)} \pi^+ + U_{(\partial_t - i\varepsilon)} \pi^-$$

Intermezzo II: pseudodifferential calculus I/II

The *differential* operator $d/dx : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikx} k \hat{f}(k) dk$$

hence a m -order differential operator A with constant coefficient reads as

$$Pf(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ikx} p(x, k) \hat{f}(k) dk \quad p(x, k) = \sum_{\alpha \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x) k^{\alpha}$$

The **Kohn-Nirenberg quantization** is the natural generalization

$$S_{1,0}^m \ni p(x, k) \mapsto P(x, \frac{d}{dx}) := Op(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ik(x-y)} p(x, k) f(y) dy dk \in \Psi^m(\mathbb{R})$$

where the symbol $p(x, k)$ is promoted to a smooth function in the class

$$S_{1,0}^m := \left\{ p \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \mid \left| \frac{d^{\alpha}}{dx^{\alpha}} \frac{d^{\beta}}{dk^{\beta}} (p(x, k)) \right| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle k \rangle^{m-|\beta|} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Intermezzo II: pseudodifferential calculus II/II

NICE PROPERTIES:

- The Ψ DO-calculus transforms covariantly under local diffeomorphisms:

- $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ diffeomorphism
- $U_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ precompact open sets and $\chi_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $\chi_i|_{U_i} = 1$

\Rightarrow For $A \in \Psi^m(U_1)$ we have $\chi_1 A \psi^*(\chi_2 u) = B u \in \Psi^m(U_2)$

\Rightarrow the definition of Ψ DO extends on smooth manifolds

- Let $S^{-\infty} := \cap_m S_{1,0}^m$ and $\Psi^{-\infty}(M)$ accordingly:

$\Rightarrow A : D'(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is *smoothing* if and only if $A \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M)$

$\Rightarrow WF(Au) = \emptyset$ for any $u \in D'(M)$

- If M compact and $A \in \Psi^m(M)$ and $B \in \Psi^n(M)$

$\Rightarrow A \circ B \in \Psi^{m+n}$

\Rightarrow For polyhomogeneous symbols i.e. $\sigma_P \sim \sum_j \alpha_j k^j \Rightarrow \sigma_{AB} = \sigma_A \circ \sigma_B \in S_{ph}^{m+n}$

The Ψ DO-calculus can be extended on *manifolds of bounded geometry*

CONSTRUCTION OF AN 'APPROXIMATE' SQUARE ROOT OF THE LAPLACIAN

(sketch of the proof)

- Let $M = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with Σ of bounded geometry
- The closure of the Laplacian $\bar{\Delta}$ with domain $H^2(\Sigma)$ is self-adjoint on $L^2(\Sigma)$
- We fix $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\chi(0) = 1$ and set $\chi_R(\lambda) = \chi(R^{-1}\lambda)$ for $R \geq 1$
- We get $\chi_R(\bar{\Delta}) \in \Psi^{-\infty}(\Sigma)$ and we set $r_{-\infty} = R\chi_R(\bar{\Delta})$
- By the spectral calculus we find $R > 1$ s. t. $\bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$ is m -accretive
- By standard results of Kato, $\bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$ has a unique m -accretive square root

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^* \quad \exists! \varepsilon^{-1} \in \Psi^{-1} \quad \varepsilon^2 = \bar{\Delta} + r_{-\infty}$$



3) The square root ϵ_i of the Hodge-Laplacian Δ_i has to satisfy

$$\epsilon_i \pi_\delta = \pi_\delta \epsilon_i \quad \text{modulo } \Psi^{-\infty}$$

where again $\pi_\delta = \mathbb{1} - d_\Sigma \Delta_0^{-1} \delta_\Sigma$

4) Finally consider the pseudodifferential projectors π^\pm defined by

$$\pi^\pm := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \pm \epsilon_0^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \pm \epsilon_0 & \mathbb{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{1} & \pm \epsilon_1^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \pm \epsilon_1 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

THEOREM [S.M., Schmid] Consider the operators $c^\pm := T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma$. Then

$$\lambda^\pm := (\rho_1 G_1)^* \lambda_\Sigma^\pm (\rho_1 G_1) \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_\Sigma^\pm := \pm i G_{1,\Sigma} c^\pm$$

are the pseudo-covariances of a quasi-free Hadamard state on $\text{CCR}(\mathcal{V}_P, q_1)$.

Sketch of the proof

(i) Since $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i^*$ are formally self-adjoint w.r.t the Hodge-inner product on Σ

$$(\pi^\pm)^\dagger = G_{1,\Sigma}^{-1}(\pi^\pm)^* G_{1,\Sigma} = \pi^\pm,$$

Then π^\pm , T_Σ and also c^\pm are formally self-adjoint w.r.t. $\sigma_{1,\Sigma}$.

(ii) $\pi^+ + \pi^- = \mathbb{1}$ and hence

$$(c^+ + c^-)f = T_\Sigma^2 f = T_\Sigma f = f \mod \text{ran}(K_\Sigma|_{\Gamma_H^\infty})$$

for all $f \in \ker(K_\Sigma^\dagger)$, where in the last step we used that T_Σ is a bijection between \mathcal{V}_P and \mathcal{V}_Σ together with $T_\Sigma = \mathbb{1}$ on $\ker R_\Sigma$.

(iii) we compute

$$\pm q_{1,\Sigma}(f, c^\pm f) = \pm q_{1,\Sigma}(f, T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma f) = \pm q_{\Sigma,R}(T_\Sigma f, \pi^\pm T_\Sigma f) \geq 0$$

(iv) follows because π^\pm commutes with T_Σ modulo a smooth kernel and π^\pm satisfies the Hadamard condition □

Outlook

WHAT WE HAVE SEEN AND WHAT COMES NEXT?

MAXWELL'S THEORY:

- Gauge fixing is useful for getting positivity and gauge invariance, but “the price to pay” is working with smooth, Sobolev initial data
- For generic manifold, we can construct Hadamard projectors π^\pm , but it is not clear that they commute with T_Σ (even modulo smoothing)

FUTURE WORK: LINEARIZED GRAVITY

- Gauge fixing completely the linearized gravity on the level of initial data: *Synchronous, de Donder, traceless-gauge, ...*
- Constructing T_Σ is very challenging from a technical point of view (two-tensors can make life miserable very fast)
- We cannot use the deformation argument, so we need to modify π^\pm such that the operators $c^\pm = T_\Sigma \pi^\pm T_\Sigma$ satisfies the Hadamard conditions

THANKS for your attention!