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examples

- smooth spacetimes $(M, g)$ with usual time separation function $\tau(p, q):=\sup \left\{L_{g}(\gamma): \gamma\right.$ f.d. causal from $p$ to $\left.q\right\}$
- finite directed graphs
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## Theorem

$X$ strongly causal Lorentzian length space s.t. all inextendible timelike geodesics have infinite $\tau$-length, then $X$ is inextendible as a regular Lorentzian length space

## Corollary

$(M, g)$ strongly causal, smooth and timelike geodesically complete spacetime, then $(M, g)$ is inextendible as a regular Lorentzian length space
being non-regular is related to (timelike/causal) curvature unbounded

# Generalized cones as Lorentzian length spaces: Causality, 

 curvature, and singularity theorems joint work with S. Alexander, M. Graf, M. Kunzinger, Comm. Anal. Geom. to appear, 2021
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For this talk: $(X, d)$ locally compact geodesic length space
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$\ll, \leq, I^{ \pm}, J^{ \pm}$and the Lorentzian time separation $\tau$ as usual
$\gamma=(\alpha, \beta):[a, b] \rightarrow Y$ future directed causal, maximizing $\leadsto$

- fiber component $\beta$ minimizing in $(X, d)$
- converse ( $\beta$ min. $\Longrightarrow \gamma$ max.) does not hold! (problem: right parametrization of $\alpha$ )
- Fiber independence: base component $\alpha$ depends only on length of $\beta$ (independent of $\beta,(X, d)$ otherwise)
I.e., take any other ( $X^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ ) and maximizing curve $\beta^{\prime}$ with $L^{d}(\beta)=L^{d^{\prime}}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $v_{\beta}=v_{\beta^{\prime}}$, then $\gamma^{\prime}=\left(\alpha, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ is maximizing causal/timelike for $I \times_{f} X^{\prime} \Longrightarrow \tau(p, q)$ depends only on $p_{0}, q_{0}, d_{X}(\bar{p}, \bar{q})($ and $f)!$
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- $h_{p_{0}}:\left(a_{p_{0}}, b_{p_{0}}\right) \rightarrow I$ as the unique maximal solution of the ODE

$$
\frac{d}{d s} h_{p_{0}}=f \circ h_{p_{0}}, \quad h_{p_{0}}(0)=p_{0}
$$

Then: $h_{p_{0}}$ is strictly increasing, bijective and $C^{1}$ and

$$
I^{+}\left(\left(p_{0}, \bar{p}\right)\right)=\left\{\left(q_{0}, \bar{q}\right) \in Y: d(\bar{p}, \bar{q})<b_{p_{0}} \text { and } q_{0}>h_{p_{0}}(d(\bar{p}, \bar{q}))\right\}
$$

- In particular, $I^{+}$open and push-up holds
- $\partial I^{+}\left(\left(p_{0}, \bar{p}\right)\right)=\partial J^{+}\left(\left(p_{0}, \bar{p}\right)\right)=$ continuous graph over $B_{b_{p_{0}}}(\bar{p}) \subseteq X$ \& its height depends only on the distance to $\bar{p}$ !
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$\Longrightarrow Y=I \times_{f} X$ is a Lorentzian pre-length space
( + more work $\Longrightarrow Y$ is a regular strongly causal Lorentzian length space)
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## Theorem
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Special case: $f$ smooth, then $I \times{ }_{f} \mathbb{M}^{2}(K)$ smooth Lorentzian manifold $\rightsquigarrow$ sectional curvatures easily computable
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## Theorem

$X$ geodesic length space, $Y=I \times_{f} X$ with $I=(a, b), f: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ smooth, $Y$ timelike curvature bounded below by $K$, then:
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- Contradiction!


# A Lorentzian analog for Hausdorff dimension and measure 

 joint work with Robert J. McCann, preprint 2021
## Hausdorff measures and dimension

## Definition

$(X, d)$ metric space, $A \subseteq X, \delta>0, N \in[0, \infty)$
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\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{N}(A):=\inf \left\{c_{N} \sum_{i} \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right)^{N}: A \subseteq \bigcup_{i} A_{i}, \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \delta\right\}
$$

$N$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{N}(A):=\sup _{\delta>0} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{N}(A)$
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$N$-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{N}(A):=\sup _{\delta>0} \mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{N}(A)$

## Definition

Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}^{H}(A):=\inf \left\{N \geq 0: \mathcal{H}^{N}(A)=0\right\}$
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(2) $\inf _{n} \operatorname{vol}^{g_{n}}\left(B_{1}^{M_{n}}\left(p_{n}\right)\right)>0$ (non-collapsed); in this case $\operatorname{dim}^{H}(X)=N, \mathcal{H}^{N}(X)>0$ and renormalized limit measure $=c \mathcal{H}^{N}$
( $X, d, m$ ) metric measure space, synthetic lower Ricci curvature bounded by $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and dimension bounded above by $N \leadsto \mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$ (using optimal transport, convexity/concavity of functionals on the space of probability measures) (Lott-Villani 2009, Sturm 2006)
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## Theorem (Cheeger-Colding 1997)

$\left(M_{n}, g_{n}, p_{n}\right)_{n}$ sequence of pointed (complete, connected) Riemannian mf., same dim. $N$, Ricci curvature uniformly bounded below, $\left(M_{n}, g_{n}, p_{n}\right) \rightarrow(X, d, p)$ pointed Gromov-Hausdorff $\Rightarrow$ either
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## Ricci-limit spaces and (R)CD(K,N)-spaces $(2 / 2)$

## Definition (DePhilippis-Gigli 2018) <br> $\mathrm{RCD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$-space $(X, d, m)$ is non-collapsed if $m=\mathcal{H}^{N}$

## Ricci-limit spaces and ( R$) \mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$-spaces $(2 / 2)$

## Definition (DePhilippis-Gigli 2018) <br> $\mathrm{RCD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$-space $(X, d, m)$ is non-collapsed if $m=\mathcal{H}^{N}$

```
Theorem (Brué-Semola 2019)
( }X,d,m)\mathrm{ metric measure space satisfying RCD ( }K,N
(K\in\mathbb{R},N\in(1,\infty))=>\existsk\in\mathbb{N}:1\leqk\leqN s.t. m\mp@subsup{|}{R}{}\mathrm{ a.c. wrt }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{H}}{}{k}\mathrm{ and}
m(X\R)=0
```


## Ricci-limit spaces and $(\mathrm{R}) \mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$-spaces $(2 / 2)$

```
Definition (DePhilippis-Gigli 2018)
RCD(K,N)-space ( }X,d,m)\mathrm{ is non-collapsed if m= H
```


## Theorem (Brué-Semola 2019)

( $X, d, m$ ) metric measure space satisfying $\mathrm{RCD}(K, N)$ $(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in(1, \infty)) \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq k \leq N$ s.t. $\left.m\right|_{R}$ a.c. wrt $\mathcal{H}^{k}$ and $m(X \backslash R)=0$

Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor: $\operatorname{Ric}_{V}^{N}:=\operatorname{Ric}+\operatorname{Hess}(V)-\frac{d V \otimes d V}{N-n}$ amounts to replacing $d \mathrm{vol}^{g}$ by $e^{-V} d \mathrm{vol}^{g} \leadsto$ generalization to non-smooth setting $e^{-V} d \mathcal{H}^{N}$
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$$
\rho^{N}(J(x, y)):=\omega_{N} \tau(x, y)^{N}
$$

$\omega_{N}:=\frac{\pi^{\frac{N-1}{2}}}{N \Gamma\left(\frac{N+1}{2}\right) 2^{N-1}}, \Gamma$ Euler's gamma function, $N \in[0, \infty)$
$\mathbb{N} \ni N \geq 2: \rho^{N}(J(x, y))=$ vol. $C D$ in $N$-dim Minkowski w eq. time-sep.

## Definition

$X$ as above, $d$ metric on $X, A \subseteq X, \delta>0, N \in[0, \infty)$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\delta}^{N}(A):=\inf \left\{\sum_{i} \rho^{N}\left(J_{i}\right): A \subseteq \bigcup_{i} J_{i}, \operatorname{diam}\left(J_{i}\right) \leq \delta, J_{i}=J\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

$N$-dimensional Lorentzian measure $\mathcal{V}^{N}(A):=\sup _{\delta>0} \mathcal{V}_{\delta}^{N}(A)$

## Synthetic dimension

## Definition
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## Definition

( $X, d, \ll, \leq, \tau$ ) Lorentzian pre-length space, $A \subseteq X$, the synthetic dimension of $A$ is

$$
\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(A):=\inf \left\{N \geq 0: \mathcal{V}^{N}(A)<\infty\right\}
$$

## Proposition

$X$ locally $d$-uniform ( $\tau=o(1)$ ) Lorentzian pre-length space, $A \subseteq X$ $N=\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(A)$ if and only if $\forall k<N<K: \mathcal{V}^{k}(A)=\infty, \mathcal{V}^{K}(A)=0$; thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(A)=\inf \left\{N \geq 0: \mathcal{V}^{N}(A)=0\right\}
$$

## One-dimensional measure versus length

Null curves are zero-dimensional
$\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ future directed null curve in strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space: $\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(\gamma([a, b]))=0$
all causal diamonds $J(x, y)$ closed (e.g. $X$ is globally hyperbolic), then
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## Null curves are zero-dimensional

$\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ future directed null curve in strongly causal Lorentzian pre-length space: $\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(\gamma([a, b]))=0$

## Proposition

$\gamma:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ f.d. causal curve, $X$ strongly causal: $\mathcal{V}^{1}(\gamma([a, b])) \leq L_{\tau}(\gamma)$; all causal diamonds $J(x, y)$ closed (e.g. $X$ is globally hyperbolic), then

$$
\mathcal{V}^{1}(\gamma([a, b]))=L_{\tau}(\gamma)
$$

Countable sets are zero dimensional and measured by their cardinality X strongly causal, $N \in[0, \infty)$; additionally in case $N>0$ assume $\forall x \in X$, $\forall U$ nhd. of $x \exists x^{ \pm} \in U$ s.t. $x^{-}<x<x^{+}, x^{-} \ll x \nless x^{+}: A \subseteq X$ countable, then $\mathcal{V}^{N}(A)=0$ for $N>0$; and $A \subseteq X$ arbitrary then $\mathcal{V}^{0}(A)=|A|($ cardinality of $A)$

## Dimension and measure of Minkowski subspaces
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## Dimension and measure of Minkowski subspaces

## Lemma

restriction of $\mathcal{V}^{k}$ to spacelike subspace of Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{n}$ with algebraic dimension $k$ is positive multiple of Hausdorff measure

Linear null hypersurfaces have geometric codimension two

## Lemma

$n \geq 2, S \subset \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n}$ null subspace of algebraic dimension $k \neq n$, then $\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(S)=k-1$ and Lorentzian measure splits as $\mathcal{V}^{k-1}=c \mathcal{H}^{k-1} \times \mathcal{H}^{0}$ on $S=R \times \mathbb{R} \nu$, where $R$ spacelike subspace of $S, \nu \in S$ null vector

## Compatibility for continuous spacetimes
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( $M, g$ ) continuous, strongly causal, causally plain spacetime of $\operatorname{dim} n$

- $\mathcal{V}^{n}=\operatorname{vol}^{g}$
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## Compatibility for continuous spacetimes

Theorem
( $M, g$ ) continuous, strongly causal, causally plain spacetime of $\operatorname{dim} n$

- $\mathcal{V}^{n}=\mathrm{vol}^{g}$
- $\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(M)=n$
- use appropriate cylindrical neighborhoods
- machinery of Federer: Geometric measure theory 1969
- doubling of causal diamonds and doubling of $\mathrm{vol}^{g}$
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## Doubling measures in metric spaces

## Definition

( $X, d$ ) metric space, Borel measure $\mu$ on $X$ is doubling if $\exists C \geq 0$ :
$\forall x \in X, r>0$
(1) $0<\mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)<\infty$
(2) $\mu\left(B_{2 r}(x)\right) \leq C \mu\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$

Theorem (well-known)
( $X, d$ ) metric space, $\mu$ doubling measure with doubling constant $C \Rightarrow$ $\operatorname{dim}^{H}(X) \leq \log _{2}(C)$

Theorem (Sturm 2006)
( $X, d, m$ ) metric measure space satisfying $\mathrm{CD}(K, N) \Rightarrow m$ (locally) doubling and $\operatorname{dim}^{H}(X) \leq N$

## Doubling of causal diamonds in cont. spacetimes $(1 / 2)$

(1) $W$ open, conn., rel. comp. chart
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## Doubling of causal diamonds in cont. spacetimes (1/2)

(1) $W$ open, conn., rel. comp. chart
(2) $W=(0, B) \times Z$
(3) $\partial_{t}=\partial_{x^{0}}$ unif. TL
(9) $W^{\prime} \subseteq W$ open, caus. conv. in $W$ s.t. $p=(t, x), q=(s, x) \in W^{\prime}:$ $\hat{p}=(t-\lambda(s-t), x)$,
$\hat{q}=(s+\lambda(s-t), x) \in W$
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(1) $W$ open, conn., rel. comp. chart
(2) $W=(0, B) \times Z$
(3) $\partial_{t}=\partial_{x^{0}}$ unif. TL
(9) $W^{\prime} \subseteq W$ open, caus. conv. in $W$ s.t.
$p=(t, x), q=(s, x) \in W^{\prime}$ :
$\hat{p}=(t-\lambda(s-t), x)$,
$\hat{q}=(s+\lambda(s-t), x) \in W$
(6) $p=(t, x) \ll q=(s, x)$,
$u=(r, y) \ll v=(l, y) \in W^{\prime}$,
$l-r \leq 2(s-t)$,
$J(p, q) \cap J(u, v) \neq \emptyset$
$\Rightarrow J(u, v) \subseteq J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W)$
(0) $W$ arb. small, g.h.
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cylindrical nhd. W:

$$
\operatorname{vol}^{g}(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W)) \leq L \operatorname{vol}^{g}(J(p, q))
$$

## Definition

Borel measure $m$ on $M$ is loc. causally doubling if $\forall$ cyl. nhds. ( $W^{\prime}, W$ ) $\exists L \geq 1$ :
(1) $\forall p=(t, x), q=(s, x) \in W^{\prime}: m(J(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, W)) \leq \operatorname{Lm}(J(p, q))$
(2) $0<m(J(p, q, W))<\infty(p, q \in W$ with $p \ll q)$
(3) $m(\bar{W})<\infty$

## Theorem

( $M, g$ ) cont., causally plain, strongly causal spacetime; $m$ loc. causally doubling measure, loc. doubling constant $L$ on all suff. small cyl. nhds $\Rightarrow$

$$
\operatorname{dim}(M)=\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(M) \leq \log _{1+2 \lambda}(L)
$$
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$$
B_{r}^{\tau}(x):=\{y \in X: \tau(x, y)<r\}, E_{r}:=E \cap \overline{B_{r}^{\tau}(x)}
$$

## Lemma

glob. hyp. locally causally closed measured Lorentzian length space sat. $\mathrm{w} \mathrm{TCD}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{e}}(K, N)(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in[1, \infty), \mathrm{p} \in(0,1)) \Rightarrow \exists L=L(K, N) \geq 1$ : $\forall x_{0} \in X, E \subseteq I^{+}\left(x_{0}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}\right\}$ comp., $\tau$-star-shaped wrt $x_{0}, r>0$ small

$$
m\left(E_{2 r}\right) \leq L m\left(E_{r}\right)
$$

does NOT imply doubling for causal diamonds!

## Theorem

( $M, g$ ) cont., glob. hyp. TL non-branching, causally plain spacetime sat. $\operatorname{TMCP}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{( }(K, N) \mathrm{wrt} \mathrm{vol}^{g}(K \in \mathbb{R}, N \in[1, \infty), \mathrm{p} \in(0,1))$
(+causally-reversed) $\Rightarrow$

$$
\operatorname{dim}(M)=\operatorname{dim}^{\tau}(M) \leq N
$$
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## Thanks!
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[^0]:    $~$
    ( $X, d, m$ ) metric measure space, synthetic lower Ricci curvature bounded by $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and dimension bounded above by $N \leadsto \mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$ (using optimal transport, convexity/concavity of functionals on the space of probability measures) (Lott-Villani 2009, Sturm 2006)
    Riemannian condition $\leadsto \mathrm{RCD}(\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N})$-spaces (Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré 2014)

