Causal fermion systems and the causal action principle

Felix Finster





Johannes-Kepler-Forschungszentrum für Mathematik, Regensburg

Fakultät für Mathematik Universität Regensburg

Mathematical Physics Colloquium Tübingen, 8 July 2020

- approach to fundamental physics
- novel mathematical model of space-time
- physical equations are formulated in generalized space-times

Motivation

- Planck scale gives natural length scale for "new physics"
- ultraviolet divergences of QFT
- Consider lattice system, for simplicity 2d



Usual way to set up equations:

Replace derivatives by difference quotients

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \Box \phi(t,x) := \frac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \Big(\phi(t+\Delta t,x) - 2\phi(t,x) + \phi(t-\Delta t,x) \Big) \\ &- \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} \Big(\phi(t,x+\Delta x) - 2\phi(t,x) + \phi(t,x-\Delta x) \Big) \end{split}$$

Gives evolution equation, proceed time step by time step

Drawback of this approach:

- Ad hoc: Why square lattice, why difference quotients?
- Is not background-free: What is lattice spacing?
- Not invariant under general coordinate transformations, not compatible with the equivalence principle

Basic question: Can one formulate equations without referring to the nearest neighbor relation and lattice spacing?

Motivation

- ► Consider wave functions ψ_1, \ldots, ψ_f on lattice $(f < \infty)$
- Introduce scalar product; orthonormalize,

 $\langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}} | \psi_{\mathbf{l}} \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{k} \mathbf{l}} \,,$

gives *f*-dim Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle . | . \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$.

important object: for any lattice point (t, x) introduce

local correlation operator $F(t, x) : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$

define matrix elements by

$$(F(t,x))_{k}^{j} = \overline{\psi_{j}(t,x)}\psi_{k}(t,x)$$

basis invariant:

 $\langle \psi, F(t, x) \phi \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} = \overline{\psi(t, x)} \phi(t, x)$ for all $\psi, \phi \in \mathfrak{H}$

- Hermitian matrix
- Has rank at most one, is positive semi-definite

 $F(t,x) = e^*e$ with $e: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi \mapsto \psi(x)$

Motivation

 $\mathfrak{F} := \{F \text{ Hermitian, rank one, positive semi-definite}\}$



general idea:

- disregard objects on the left
 - (nearest neighbors, lattice spacing)
- work instead with the objects on the right (only local correlation operators)

How to set up equations in this setting? Explain idea in simple example:

- ▶ local correlation operators $F_1, \ldots, F_N \in \mathcal{F}$
- product F_i F_j tells about correlation of wave functions at different space-time points
- ► $Tr(F_iF_i)$ is real number

minimize

$$S = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \operatorname{Tr}(F_i F_j)^2$$

under suitable constraints.

Definition (Causal fermion system)

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle . | . \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ be Hilbert space Given parameter $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ("spin dimension") $\mathcal{F} := \Big\{ x \in L(\mathcal{H}) \text{ with the properties:} \Big\}$

- x is self-adjoint and has finite rank
- x has at most n positive

and at most *n* negative eigenvalues }

 ρ a measure on \mathcal{F} ("universal measure")

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \cdots \rightsquigarrow \int_{\mathcal{F}} \cdots d\rho$$

Causal fermion systems

Definition (Causal fermion system)

Let $(\mathfrak{H}, \langle . | . \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}})$ be Hilbert space Given parameter $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ("spin dimension") $\mathfrak{F} := \Big\{ x \in L(\mathfrak{H}) \text{ with the properties:} \Big\}$

- ► x is self-adjoint and has finite rank
- x has at most n positive

and at most *n* negative eigenvalues }

 ρ a measure on \mathcal{F} ("universal measure")



- Let $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. Then x and y are linear operators.
 - $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \in L(H)$:
 - rank < 2n

• in general not self-adjoint: $(x \cdot y)^* = y \cdot x \neq x \cdot y$ thus non-trivial complex eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{xy}, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}^{xy}$

Causal action principle

Nontrivial eigenvalues of *xy*: $\lambda_1^{xy}, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}^{xy} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{-agrangian} \quad \mathcal{L}(x,y) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \left(|\lambda_i^{xy}| - |\lambda_j^{xy}| \right)^2 \geq 0 \\ \text{action} \qquad \mathcal{S} = \iint_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{L}(x,y) \, d\rho(x) \, d\rho(y) \in [0,\infty] \end{array}$$

Minimize S under variations of ρ , with constraints

volume constraint: $\rho(\mathcal{F}) = \text{const}$ trace constraint: $\int_{\mathcal{F}} \text{tr}(x) d\rho(x) = \text{const}$ boundedness constraint: $\iint_{\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |\lambda_i^{xy}|^2 d\rho(x) d\rho(y) \leq C$

F.F., "Causal variational principles on measure spaces,"
 J. Reine Angew. Math. 646 (2010) 141–194

Example: Dirac spinors in Minkowski space

Space-time is Minkowski space, signature (+ - - -)

• free Dirac equation $(i\gamma^k\partial_k - m)\psi = 0$

► probability density $\psi^{\dagger}\psi = \overline{\psi}\gamma^{0}\psi$, gives rise to a scalar product:

$$\langle \psi | \phi \rangle = \int_{t= ext{const}} (\overline{\psi} \gamma^0 \phi)(t, \vec{x}) \, d\vec{x}$$

time independent due to current conservation

Example: Dirac spinors in Minkowski space

 \blacktriangleright Choose ${\mathcal H}$ as a subspace of the solution space,

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathrm{span}(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_f)}$$

For simplicity in presentation assume: ψ_i continuous.

▶ To $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ associate a local correlation operator

$$\langle \psi | F(\mathbf{x}) \phi \rangle = -\overline{\psi(\mathbf{x})} \phi(\mathbf{x}) \qquad \forall \psi, \phi \in \mathcal{H}$$

Is self-adjoint, rank \leq 4,

at most two positive and at most two negative eigenvalues

▶ Thus $F(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ where

 $\mathfrak{F} := \left\{ F \in L(\mathcal{H}) \text{ with the properties:} \right.$

- \triangleright F is self-adjoint and has rank ≤ 4
- ▷ F has at most 2 positive

and at most 2 negative eigenvalues }

Example: Dirac spinors in Minkowski space



▶ push-forward measure $d\rho := F_*(d^4x)$, is measure on \mathcal{F} .

Example: the Minkowski vacuum

Specify vacuum:

 Choose H as the space of all negative-energy solutions, hence "Dirac sea"



Fixes length scale ("Compton length")

Introduce ultraviolet regularization
 Fixes length scale ε ("Planck length")

This is a minimizer of the causal action (in a well-defined sense).

Analysis in the Continuum Limit

This is the starting point for continuum limit analysis:

► Consider Dirac systems in a classical bosonic field,. Are measures critical points in the limit ε ↘ 0?



Fundamental Theories of Physics **186** Springer, 2016 548+xi pages

arXiv:1605.04742 [math-ph]

classical fields coupled to second-quantized Dirac field:

- interactions of the standard model (electroweak + strong)
- general relativity

Example: Dirac spinors in space-time

Let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a globally hyperbolic space-time.



Take push-forward measure

 $\rho := F_*(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}) \qquad (\text{i.e. } \rho(\Omega) := \mu_{\mathcal{M}}(F^{-1}(\Omega)))$

Example: Dirac spinors in space-time



In general, this is not a minimizer

general concept: "matter encodes geometry"

► Pauli exclusion principle:

Choose orthonormal basis ψ_1, \ldots, ψ_f of \mathcal{H} . Set

$$\Psi = \psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_f ,$$

gives equivalent description by Hartree-Fock state.

- local gauge principle: freedom to perform local unitary transformations.
- the "equivalence principle": symmetry under "diffeomorphisms" of *M* (note: *M* merely is a topological measure space)

locality, causality and time direction are emergent

Interpretation in terms of space-time events

- operators in F can be interpreted as "possible local correlation operators" or simply as possible events
- operators in M are the events realized in space-time
- space-time is made up of all the events
- the physical equations relate the events to each other

For details on this connection:

 F.F, J. Fröhlich, C. Paganini, C. and M. Oppio, "Causal fermion systems and the ETH approach to quantum theory," arXiv:2004.11785 [math-ph] (2020) Let $(\rho, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H})$ be a causal fermion of spin dimension *n*, space-time $M := \operatorname{supp} \rho$.

space-time points are linear operators on ${\mathcal H}$

- For $x \in M$, consider eigenspaces of x.
- ► For *x*, *y* ∈ *M*,
 - consider operator products xy
 - project eigenspaces of x to eigenspaces of y

Gives rise to:

- quantum objects (spinors, wave functions)
- geometric structures (connection, curvature)
- causal structure, analytic structures

Spinors

$$S_{x}M := x(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$$
$$\prec u | v \succ_{x} := \langle u | x v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

"spin space", dim $S_x M \le 2n$ "spin scalar product", inner product of signature ($\le n, \le n$)



Physical wave functions

 $\psi^{u}(x) = \pi_{x} u$ with $u \in \mathcal{H}$ physical wave function $\pi_{x} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ orthogonal projection on $x(\mathcal{H})$



Inherent structures in space-time

► The kernel of the fermionic projector:

$$P(y, x) = \pi_y x|_{S_x M} : S_x M \to S_y M$$



$$P(y, x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{f} |\psi^{e_i}(y) \succ \prec \psi^{e_i}(x)|$$
 where (e_i) ONB of \mathcal{H}

Geometric structures

• P(x, y) : $S_y M \to S_x M$ yields relations between spin spaces.

Using a polar decomposition (\ldots, \ldots) one gets:

 $D_{x,y}$: $S_y M \to S_x M$ unitary "spin connection"

• tangent space T_x , carries Lorentzian metric,

 $abla_{x,y} : T_y \rightarrow T_x$ corresponding "metric connection"

holonomy of connection gives curvature

$$R(x, y, z) = \nabla_{x, y} \nabla_{y, z} \nabla_{z, x} : T_x \to T_x$$

Causal structure

Let $x, y \in M$. Then $x \cdot y \in L(H)$ has non-trivial complex eigenvalues $\lambda_1^{xy}, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}^{xy}$ Definition (causal structure) The points $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ are called spacelike separated if $|\lambda_i^{xy}| = |\lambda_k^{xy}|$ for all $j, k = 1, \dots, 2n$ if $\lambda_1^{xy}, \ldots, \lambda_{2n}^{xy}$ are all real timelike separated and $|\lambda_i^{xy}| \neq |\lambda_k^{xy}|$ for some j, klightlike separated otherwise

Lagrangian is compatible with causal structure:

Lagrangian
$$\mathcal{L}(x, y) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \left(|\lambda_i^{xy}| - |\lambda_j^{xy}| \right)^2 \ge 0$$

thus x, y spacelike separated $\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}(x, y) = 0$

"points with spacelike separation do not interact"

 $x(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$ subspace of dimension $\leq 2n$ Introduce the functional

 $\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{M} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := i \operatorname{tr} (\mathbf{y} \, \mathbf{x} \, \pi_{\mathbf{y}} \, \pi_{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{y} \, \pi_{\mathbf{x}} \, \pi_{\mathbf{y}})$

For timelike separated points $x, y \in M$,

 $\begin{cases} y \text{ likes in the future of } x & \text{ if } \mathbb{C}(x, y) > 0 \\ y \text{ likes in the past of } x & \text{ if } \mathbb{C}(x, y) < 0 \end{cases}$

Analysis of the causal action principle

$$\ell(\mathbf{x}) := \int_{\mathcal{F}} \left(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \kappa \sum_{i=1}^{2n} |\lambda_i^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}|^2 \right) d\rho(\mathbf{y})$$

Lemma (Euler-Lagrange equations)

Let ρ be a minimizer of the causal action. Then

 $\ell|_{M} \equiv \inf_{\mathcal{F}} \ell$



(Proof in tomorrow's talk)

Assume that ρ is a discrete minimizing measure, interpreted as describing the vacuum.

▶ What are linear perturbations of the measure?

$$\mathcal{F} \subset L(\mathcal{H})$$

Also a scalar weight function b(x) comes into play
▶ jet v := (b, v)

Jet dynamics

The jet v = (b, v) satisfies the linearized field equations

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \langle \mathfrak{u}, \Delta \mathfrak{v} \rangle(x) \\ &:= \nabla_{\mathfrak{u}} \bigg(\int_{M} \big(\nabla_{1,\mathfrak{v}} + \nabla_{2,\mathfrak{v}} \big) \mathcal{L}(x,y) \, d\rho(y) - \nabla_{\mathfrak{v}} \, \mathfrak{s} \bigg) \end{split}$$

for all test jets $\mathfrak{u},$ where $\mathfrak{s}>0$ is a Lagrange multiplier and

$$\nabla_{\mathfrak{v}}g(x) := a(x)g(x) + (D_{v}g)(x)$$

There are also corresponding nonlinear field equations.

- F.F., J. Kleiner, "A Hamiltonian formulation of causal variational principles," arXiv:1612.07192 [math-ph], Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 56:73 (2017)
- F.F., "Perturbation theory for critical points of causal variational principles," arXiv:1703.05059 [math-ph], to appear in Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. (2020)

Existence, Uniqueness, Finite Propagation Speed

for linearized fields



This holds "on the macroscopic scale"

 C. Dappiaggi, F.F., "The Cauchy problem and the causal structure of linearized fields for causal variational principles," arXiv:1811.10587 [math-ph], to appear in Methods and Applications of Analysis (2020)

based on energy estimates

Surface Layer Integrals

For doing quantum theory, there is still missing

- probability density, scalar product is fixed time
- unitary time evolution



 $\int_{\Omega} d\rho(x) \int_{M \setminus \Omega} d\rho(y) (\cdots) \mathcal{L}(x, y)$

Surface Layer Integrals



 F.F., J. Kleiner, "Noether-like theorems for causal variational principles," arXiv:1506.09076 [math-ph], *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55:35 (2016)

 F.F., J. Kleiner, "A class of conserved surface layer integrals for causal variational principles," arXiv:1801.08715 [math-ph], *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58:38 (2016)

Conservation laws for linearized fields

$$\begin{split} l^{\Omega}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{v}) &:= \int_{\Omega} d\rho(x) \int_{M \setminus \Omega} d\rho(y) \left(\nabla_{1,\mathfrak{v}} - \nabla_{2,\mathfrak{v}} \right) \mathcal{L}(x,y) \\ \sigma^{\Omega}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{v}) &:= \int_{\Omega} d\rho(x) \int_{M \setminus \Omega} d\rho(y) \left(\nabla_{1,\mathfrak{u}} \nabla_{2,\mathfrak{v}} - \nabla_{1,\mathfrak{v}} \nabla_{2,\mathfrak{u}} \right) \mathcal{L}(x,y) \end{split}$$

Gives rise to: Complex structure, unitary time evolution



 F.F., N. Kamran, "Complex structures on jet spaces and bosonic Fock space dynamics for causal variational principles," arXiv:1808.03177 [math-ph], to appear in Pure Appl. Math. Q. (2020) Consider two measures ρ and $\tilde{\rho}$ and subsets $\Omega \subset M$, $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{M}$.

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma^{\Omega}(ilde
ho,
ho) := \ \int_{ ilde{\Omega}} d ilde{
ho}(ilde{x}) \int_{M\setminus\Omega} d
ho(y) \, \mathcal{L}(ilde{x},y) \ &- \int_{\Omega} d
ho(x) \int_{ ilde{M}\setminus ilde{\Omega}} d ilde{
ho}(ilde{y}) \, \mathcal{L}(x, ilde{y}) \end{aligned}$$

Gives conservation laws for nonlinear fields

Used for definition of total mass (see talk tomorrow).

- perform perturbation expansion
- rewrite *p*-multilinear mappings as linear mappings on the tensor product
- combine with conservation laws

Gives unitary time evolution on Fock spaces

Worked out for bosonic interactions in

 F.F., N. Kamran, "Complex structures on jet spaces and bosonic Fock space dynamics for causal variational principles," arXiv:1808.03177 [math-ph], to appear in Pure Appl. Math. Q. (2020)

Connection to classical field theory and PDEs:

• nonlinear fields, prove existence and uniqueness,

Connection to quantum field theory:

- interacting QFT with fermions: current project with Niky Kamran
- linear field theories (with Claudio Dappiaggi and Marco Oppio)
- work out quantitative differences to standard QFT

www.causal-fermion-system.com

Thank you for your attention!

Felix Finster Causal fermion systems

Gauß-like theorem

For simplicity leave out scalar components of jets.

$$(\Delta u)(x) = \int_{M} (D_{1,u} + D_{2,u}) \mathcal{L}(x, y) \, d\rho(y)$$

$$0 = D_{u}\ell = \int_{M} D_{1,u}\mathcal{L}(x, y) \, d\rho(y) \qquad \text{(EL eqns)}$$

Hence

$$(\Delta u)(x) = -\int_{M} (D_{1,u} - D_{2,u}) \mathcal{L}(x, y) d\rho(y)$$
$$\int_{\Omega} (\Delta u)(x) d\rho(x) = -\int_{\Omega} d\rho(x) \int_{M} d\rho(y) (D_{1,u} - D_{2,u}) \mathcal{L}(x, y)$$
$$= -\int_{\Omega} d\rho(x) \int_{M \setminus \Omega} d\rho(y) (D_{1,u} - D_{2,u}) \mathcal{L}(x, y)$$

(volume integral) = (surface layer integral)

Energy estimates

consider the energy as "smoothened" surface layer integral:

$$E(t) := (v, v)_t = \int_U d\rho(x) \eta_t(x) \int_U d\rho(y) (1 - \eta_t(y)) \\ \times (\nabla_{1,v} \nabla_{1,v} - \nabla_{2,v} \nabla_{2,v}) \mathcal{L}(x, y)$$

energy identity

1

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \, (\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v})_t &= 2 \int_U \langle \mathfrak{v}, \Delta \mathfrak{v} \rangle(x) \, d\rho_t(x) \\ &- 2 \int_U \Delta_2[\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}] \, d\rho_t(x) + \mathfrak{s} \int_U b(x)^2 \, d\rho_t(x) \\ d\rho_t(x) &:= \theta_t(x) \, d\rho(x) \,, \qquad \theta_t(x) := \partial_t \eta_t(x) \end{split}$$

Energy estimates

hyperbolicity conditions:

$$(\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{v})_t \geq rac{1}{C^2} \int_U \left(\|\mathfrak{v}(x)\|_x^2 + |\Delta_2[\mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{v}]| \right) d
ho_t(x) \qquad ext{for all } \mathfrak{v}$$

Gives rise to weak solutions in lens-shaped regions:

 $\langle \Delta \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v} \rangle_{L^2(L)} = \langle \mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{w} \rangle_{L^2(L)}$ for all test jets \mathfrak{u}



Felix Finster Causal fermion systems

- strong solutions
- global solutions: exhaust space-time by lens-shaped regions
- gives existence of advanced and retarded Green's operators

What is a quantum field?

 What is a classical bosonic field? Recall concept of local correlation operator at the beginning:

$$(F(t,x))_k^j = \overline{\psi_j(t,x)}\psi_k(t,x)$$

This holds more generally: $x \in M := \text{supp } \rho \subset \mathfrak{F}$,

$$x = F(x), \qquad F(x)_k^j = \prec \psi_j(x) | \psi_k(x) \succ_x$$

The $\psi_k(x)$ are called physical wave functions. A vector field is the first variation of F(x):

 $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \delta \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) | \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \succ_{\mathbf{x}} + \langle \psi_j(\mathbf{x}) | \delta \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \succ_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$

• bosonic jet: vary physical wave functions collectively

$$\delta\psi_j(\mathbf{x}) = -(\mathbf{s}_m \mathbf{A}\psi_j)(\mathbf{x})$$

Using bosonic classical jets alone, one cannot satisfy the EL equations.

Additional transformations:

 $x \to U(x) \ x \ U(x)^{-1}$ with $U(x) \in U(\mathcal{H})$

where U(x) "fluctuates" on Compton scale.

- Leaves local densities unchanged
- Changes many-particle correlations because

$$P(x,y) \rightarrow \pi_x U(x)^{-1} U(y)y|_{S_y M}$$

In particular, oscillatory functions come into play.

 As a consequence, the system can no longer be described by Hartree-Fock states and by classical bosonic fields.