

Online Course on Causal Fermion Systems

Prof. Dr. Felix Finster, Dr. Marco Oppio

Guiding Questions and Exercises 7

Guiding Questions

The purpose of the following questions is to highlight the main topics covered in the online course and help you through the literature.

- (i) What are the spin spaces? In which sense do they form a topological bundle? Why is it of advantage to endow them with an indefinite inner product?
- (ii) What is a wave function in spacetime? What is the physical meaning of the so-called physical wave functions?
- (iii) What is the physical and geometrical content of the kernel of the fermionic projector? What is the true advantage of using the closed chain instead of the operator products?
- (iv) In which space can the kernel of the fermionic projector be in fact understood as the kernel of a integral linear operator? Is it a Hilbert space?

Exercises

Exercise 7.1: On the spectrum of the closed chain - part 2

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}, \rho)$ be a causal fermion system and $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$. For the closed chain

$$A_{xy} := P(x, y)P(y, x) : (S_x, \prec \cdot, \cdot \succ_x) \rightarrow (S_x, \prec \cdot, \cdot \succ_x),$$

the mathematical setting analyzed in Exercise 3.3 is somewhat different, because A_{xy} is a symmetric operator on an *indefinite inner product space*. On the other hand, we know that A_{xy} is isospectral to xy . Indeed, the symmetry result in Exercise 3.3-(iv) can be used to prove a corresponding statement for the closed chain:

$$\det(A_{xy} - \lambda \mathbb{I}) = 0 \iff \det(A_{xy} - \bar{\lambda} \mathbb{I}) = 0.$$

This result is well-known in the theory of indefinite inner product spaces. In order to derive it from Exercise 3.3-(iv), one can proceed as follows: First, represent the indefinite inner product in the form $\prec \cdot, \cdot \succ = \langle \cdot, S \cdot \rangle$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a scalar product and S is an invertible operator which is symmetric (with respect to this scalar product). Next, show that the operator $B := A_{xy}S$ is symmetric (again with respect to this scalar product). Finally, write the closed chain as $A_{xy} = BS^{-1}$ and apply Exercise 3.3-(iv).

Exercise 7.2: A causal fermion system on ℓ_2 - part 3

We return to the example of Exercises 2.3 and 3.2. This time we equip it with a *Krein structure*.

- (i) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, construct the spin space S_{x_k} and its spin scalar product.
- (ii) Given a vector $u \in \mathcal{H}$, what is the corresponding wave function ψ^u ? What is the Krein inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$?
- (iii) What is the topology on the Krein space \mathcal{K} ? Does the wave evaluation operator $\Psi : u \mapsto \psi^u$ give rise to a well-defined and continuous mapping $\Psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$? If yes, is it an embedding? Is it surjective?
- (iv) Repeat part (iii) of this exercise for the causal fermion system obtained if the operators x_k are multiplied by k , i.e.

$$x_k u := (0, \dots, 0, k u_{k+1}, k u_k, 0, \dots).$$

Exercise 7.3: Stability of the causal structure

A binary relation P on \mathcal{F} is said to be *stable under perturbations* if

$$(x_0, y_0) \in P \implies \exists r > 0 : B_r(x_0) \times B_r(y_0) \subset P.$$

Two points $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ are said to be *properly timelike* separated if the closed chain A_{xy} has a strictly positive spectrum and if all eigenspaces are definite subspaces of $(S_x, \prec \cdot, \cdot \succ)$.

- (i) Show that proper timelike separation implies timelike separation.
- (ii) Show by a counterexample with 3×3 matrices that the notion of timelike separation is *not* stable under perturbations.
- (iii) Show that the notion of properly timelike separation is stable under perturbations.

Exercise 7.4: Time direction

The ability to distinguish between past and future can be described in mathematical terms by the existence of an antisymmetric functional $\mathcal{T} : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. One then says that

$$\begin{cases} y \text{ lies in the } \textit{future} \text{ of } x & \text{if } \mathcal{T}(x, y) > 0 \\ y \text{ lies in the } \textit{past} \text{ of } x & \text{if } \mathcal{T}(x, y) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Can you think of a simple non-trivial example of such a functional which involves only products and linear combinations of the spacetime operators and the orthogonal projections on the corresponding spin spaces?